



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,666	02/23/2004	Kohei Takamatsu	0941.69863	2332	
7590 05/25/2006		EXAMINER			
Patrick G. Burns, Esq.			KAPADIA, VARSHA A		
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
300 South Wacker Dr.			2627		
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/784,666	TAKAMATSU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Varsha A. Kapadia	2627		

	varsna A. Napadia	2027	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig	36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		•	•
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w);		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		impliant Amendment	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		n be entered and an e	хріанацоп от
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 17-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a North and the affidate of	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered buse #2 in Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. Other:	Than	lha_	
	THÂNG PRIMARY	V. TRAN EXAMINER	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: #1. Newly amended claims raise new issues that would change the scope of the invention previously claimed and prosecuted and would require further consideration/search.

Continuation of 11. #2 does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are directed to the new issues raised in the claims.